UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|-----------------------|--|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|--|
| 10/604,853 | 08/21/2003 | Randall A. Addington | 1081003 | 1852 | |
| | 24253 7590 02/17/2009 JOEL I ROSENBLATT | | | EXAMINER | |
| 445 11TH AVENUE | | | PIERCE, WILLIAM M | | |
| INDIALANTIC, FL 32903 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| | | | 3711 | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE | |
| | | | 02/17/2009 | PAPER | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO./ FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR / ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. PATENT IN REEXAMINATION

10604853 8/21/2003 ADDINGTON ET AL. 1081003

JOEL I ROSENBLATT 445 11TH AVENUE INDIALANTIC, FL 32903 EXAMINER

William M. Pierce

ART UNIT PAPER

3711 20090212

DATE MAILED:

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner for Patents

The reply brief filed on 1/26/09 has not been considered because it is not in compliance with 37 CFR 41.41(a). The reply brief has not been filed within two months from the date of the examiner's answer. This submission is considered more than a correction to the previously filed reply brief of 9/24/07. Where that reply brief was, at the direction of the Board conveyed in the Remand of 9/30/08, not considered since it included a new reference to Shaffer, the instant reply brief does more than remove any remarks and arguments pertaining to Shaffer. Instead it presents new and additional arguments, inter alia, pertaining to the propriety of refusing to enter the previous reply brief due to Shaffer. Here, no supplemental examiner's answer responding to applicant's previous reply brief has been furnished that would entitle applicant to a second reply brief as set forth in 37 CFR 41.43(b).

/William M Pierce/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3711